1	Final - Minutes Mianagaania Hair Comparison Case Deview Subcommittee of the
2 3	Microscopic Hair Comparison Case Review Subcommittee of the Forensic Science Board
4	June 16, 2016
5 6	Department of Forensic Science, Central Laboratory, Classroom 1
7	Subcommittee Members Present
8	
9 10	Vince S. Donoghue, Essex Commonwealth's Attorney (Designee of Senator Mark D. Obenshain, Chair of the Senate Courts of Justice Committee) - Subcommittee Chair
11 12	David A. C. Long, Esq. Lieutenant Colonel Robert B. Northern, Deputy Superintendent, Virginia State Police,
12	(Designee of Colonel W. Steven Flaherty, Superintendent, Virginia State Police)
14	
15	Legal Counsel for the Forensic Science Board
16 17	Michelle Welch, Esq., Assistant Attorney General
18	Staff Members Present
19	
20 21	David A. Barron, Ph.D., Deputy Director
21 22	Amy M. Curtis, Department Counsel Katya N. Herndon, Chief Deputy Director
22	Linda C. Jackson, Department Director
24	Bradford C. Jenkins, Forensic Biology Program Manager
25	Alka B. Lohmann, Director of Technical Services
26	Robert W. Scanlon, Principal Forensic Scientist
27 28	Carisa M. Studer, Legal Assistant
28 29	Invited Guests Present
30	
31	Shawn Armbrust, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Innocence Project
32	Holly Berry, Virginia State Crime Commission
33 34	Parisa Dehghani-Tafti, Mid-Atlantic Innocence Project
34 35	Matthew Dullaghan, Senior Assistant Attorney General Brandon Garrett, the Justice Thurgood Marshall Distinguished Professor of Law at the
36	University of Virginia School of Law
37	Jenny Givens, University of Virginia Innocence Project
38	Maria Jankowski, Virginia Indigent Defense Commission
39	Tess Sewell, University of Virginia Innocence Project
40	Call to Orden by Subcommittee Chein Vince Denechus
41 42	Call to Order by Subcommittee Chair Vince Donoghue
42	Mr. Donoghue called the meeting of the Microscopic Hair Comparison Case Review
44	Subcommittee ("Subcommittee") to order at 1:31 p.m. He welcomed the Subcommittee
45	members, the invited guests and Department of Forensic Science ("DFS or the Department")
46	Staff.

## 47 Adoption of Agenda

48

The Chair asked if there were any additions or changes to the draft agenda for the meeting.
Being none, Mr. Long made a motion to adopt the agenda, which was seconded by Lt. Col.
Northern and adopted by unanimous vote of the Subcommittee.

52

## 53 Approval of Draft Minutes of the April 19, 2016 Meeting

54

The Chair asked if there were any changes or corrections to the draft minutes from the April 19,
2016 meeting. Being none, Mr. Long made a motion to adopt the minutes, which was seconded
by Lt. Col. Northern and approved by unanimous vote of the Subcommittee.

58

59 <u>Discussion</u>

60

61 Department Counsel Amy Curtis led a review of twelve transcripts that included testimony from 62 DFS hair microscopy examiners. Ms. Curtis explained that the transcripts were identified through various means, including searching the Department's Laboratory Information 63 64 Management System for hair comparison cases from 1995 to the present, using legal research 65 search engines, and requesting transcripts from the Innocence Project and other attorneys. The twelve transcripts contain testimony from six DFS examiners. In eleven of the transcripts, the 66 67 DFS examiners were testifying for the Commonwealth, and in one, the examiner was testifying 68 for the defense. The earliest transcript was from 1975, and the most recent was from 2001. DFS 69 redacted the names of the defendants, victims, and attorneys from the transcripts.

70

Ms. Curtis reviewed the details of each transcript with the Subcommittee. The Subcommittee members, DFS staff and the invited guests discussed each transcript and identified testimony of concern in nine of the twelve transcripts. DFS staff will compile the flagged testimony from the nine transcripts as examples to assist the review team during its transcript reviews. The Subcommittee also requested that DFS include examples of proper testimony in the document being prepared for the review team.

77

Katya Herndon, Chief Deputy Director, made the Subcommittee aware that Holly Berry from the Virginia State Crime Commission was wrapping up her work on the Post-Conviction Testing Project and had expressed a willingness to assist with the Microscopic Hair Comparison Case Review, including assisting with the initial screening of cases. However, in order for Ms. Berry to assist with the review, Senator Norment, the Chair of the Crime Commission, would need to authorize her work on the project. The Subcommittee agreed to send a request letter from Mr. Donoghue to Senator Norment seeking Ms. Berry's assistance with this project.

85

## 86 <u>Next Meeting</u>

87

The Hair Microscopy Subcommittee will meet next on July 18, 2016 at 1:30 p.m.

- 90 <u>Adjournment</u>
- 91

- Mr. Long moved that the meeting of the Subcommittee be adjourned, which was seconded by Lt. Col. Northern and passed by unanimous vote.
- 94
- The meeting adjourned at 3:30 p.m.